

A quadratic multiparameter eigenvalue problem arising in DDEs

Michiel Hochstenbach

TU Eindhoven, Netherlands

www.win.tue.nl/~hochsten

IWASEP 7

June 11, 2008

Coworkers:

Elias Jarlebring (TU Braunschweig)

Andrej Muhič, Bor Plestenjak (Ljubljana)

- Critical delays of DDEs lead to QMEP
- Existing methods for DDEs critical delays can be understood in QMEP terms
- Numerical solution method for QMEP
- Generalization: PMEP

Critical delays in DDEs

Consider delay differential equation (DDE)

$$A\dot{x}(t) = Bx(t) + Cx(t - \tau)$$

$A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, delay $\tau \geq 0$

Characteristic equation ($x(t) = e^{\lambda t} x$)

$$\lambda Ax = Bx + e^{-\tau\lambda} Cx$$

In particular interested in critical delays:

τ such that there is purely imaginary $\lambda = i\omega$

Methods for critical delays in DDEs

Louisell (2001): Imaginary eigenvalues of $\lambda x(t) = Ax(t) + e^{-\tau\lambda} Bx$ are eigenvalues of

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \otimes I & B \otimes I \\ -I \otimes B & -I \otimes A \end{bmatrix}$$

Chen, Gu, Nett (1995): if $e^{-\tau\lambda}$ of form $e^{-i\omega}$ then in spectrum of pair

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \otimes I \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I \otimes B^T & -A \otimes I - I \otimes A^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Questions:

- Is there a common framework for this?
- Computational approaches?

Critical delays in DDEs

Delay eigenvalue problem

$$\lambda Ax = Bx + e^{-\tau\lambda} Cx$$

Critical delays: τ such that there is purely imaginary $\lambda = i\omega$

Denote $\mu = e^{-\tau\lambda}$, $y = \bar{x}$

Equation and complex conjugate:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda Ax &= Bx + \mu Cx \\ -\lambda Ay &= By + \mu^{-1} Cy\end{aligned}$$

Critical delays in DDEs

$\lambda Ax = Bx + e^{-\tau\lambda}Cx$ leads to

$$\lambda Ax = Bx + \mu Cx$$

$$-\lambda Ay = By + \mu^{-1}Cy$$

For critical delays:

- 1 solve for λ , select imaginary ones
- 2 for every such λ , determine τ such that for $\mu = e^{-\tau\lambda}$:
 $\lambda A - B - \mu C$ and $\lambda A + B + \mu^{-1}C$ singular
- 3 x corresponding eigenvector

QMEP

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda Ax &= Bx + \mu Cx \\ -\lambda Ay &= By + \mu^{-1}Cy\end{aligned}$$

Quadratic two-parameter eigenproblem (QMEP):

$$\begin{aligned}(B - \lambda Ax \quad -\mu C) x &= 0 \\ (C \quad +\mu B + \lambda\mu A) y &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Linear two-parameter eigenvalue problem (MEP):

$$\begin{aligned}A_1x &= \lambda B_1x + \mu C_1x \\ A_2y &= \lambda B_2y + \mu C_2y\end{aligned}$$

General QMEP:

$$\begin{aligned}p(\lambda, \mu)x &:= (A_1 + \lambda B_1 + \mu C_1 + \lambda^2 D_1 + \lambda\mu E_1 + \mu^2 F_1)x = 0 \\ q(\lambda, \mu)y &:= (A_2 + \lambda B_2 + \mu C_2 + \lambda^2 D_2 + \lambda\mu E_2 + \mu^2 F_2)y = 0\end{aligned}$$

Linear two-parameter multiparameter eigenvalue problem (MEP):

$$A_1x = \lambda B_1x + \mu C_1x$$

$$A_2y = \lambda B_2y + \mu C_2y$$

Matrix determinants:

$$\Delta_0 = B_1 \otimes C_2 - C_1 \otimes B_2$$

$$\Delta_1 = A_1 \otimes C_2 - C_1 \otimes A_2$$

$$\Delta_2 = B_1 \otimes A_2 - A_1 \otimes B_2$$

$\Delta_0^{-1}\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_0^{-1}\Delta_2$ commute; associated 2 GEPs: [Atkinson \(1972\)](#)

$$\Delta_1(x \otimes y) = \lambda \Delta_0(x \otimes y)$$

$$\Delta_2(x \otimes y) = \mu \Delta_0(x \otimes y)$$

Simultaneous diagonalization

Bunse-Gerstner, Byers, Mehrmann (1993), H., Košir, Plestenjak (2005)

Numerical solution for QMEP

Subspace method:

- subspace expansion: given $(\theta, \eta, u, v) \approx (\lambda, \mu, x, y)$,
enlarge subspaces \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}
 \Rightarrow Jacobi–Davidson type expansion
- subspace extraction: given \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} , select
 $(\theta, \eta, u, v) \approx (\lambda, \mu, x, y)$ with $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$
 \Rightarrow standard, harmonic, refined extraction

Jacobi–Davidson for QMEP

Suppose have approximation $(\theta, \eta, u, v) \approx (\lambda, \mu, x, y)$

Want $s \perp u$, $t \perp v$:

$$p(\lambda, \mu)(u + s) = 0$$

$$q(\lambda, \mu)(v + t) = 0$$

rewrite:

$$p(\theta, \eta)s = -p(\theta, \eta)u - (\lambda - \theta)p_\lambda(\theta, \eta)u - (\mu - \eta)p_\mu(\theta, \eta)u + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$p(\theta, \eta)t = -q(\theta, \eta)v - (\lambda - \theta)q_\lambda(\theta, \eta)v - (\mu - \eta)q_\mu(\theta, \eta)v + \text{h.o.t.}$$

correction equation:

$$P \begin{bmatrix} p(\theta, \eta) \\ q(\theta, \eta) \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} p(\theta, \eta)u \\ q(\theta, \eta)v \end{bmatrix}$$

Co-dim-2 projector $P = I - W(Z^*W)Z^*$

$$W = \text{span} \left(\begin{bmatrix} p_\lambda(\theta, \eta)u \\ q_\lambda(\theta, \eta)v \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} p_\mu(\theta, \eta)u \\ q_\mu(\theta, \eta)v \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad Z = \left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Standard extraction for QMEP

Determine $\theta, \eta, u \in \mathcal{U}, v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that

$$p(\theta, \eta)u \perp \mathcal{U} \quad q(\theta, \eta)v \perp \mathcal{V}$$

With $u = Uc, v = Vd \Rightarrow$ small, projected QMEP:

$$U^* p(\theta, \eta) Uc = 0$$

$$V^* q(\theta, \eta) Vd = 0$$

Alternatives to standard extraction

As for standard, generalized eigenvalue problem:

- standard extraction generally favorable for exterior eigenvalues
- not for interior eigenvalues
- reason: no bound on residual norms

$$\|p(\sigma, \tau)u\|, \quad \|q(\sigma, \tau)v\|$$

- instead: (1) refined extraction
- instead: (2) harmonic extraction

Refined extraction for QMEP

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \|\rho(\sigma, \tau)u\| \quad \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \|q(\sigma, \tau)v\|$$

- + efficient implementation with QR-decompositions
- + small residual norms
- true eigenvectors don't minimize \Rightarrow update target (σ, τ)

Elegant approach: harmonic extraction

Harmonic extraction for GEP $Ax = \lambda Bx$

Shift-and-invert property:

$$(A - \tau B)^{-1} Bx = (\lambda - \tau)^{-1} x$$

Galerkin condition:

$$(A - \tau B)^{-1} Bu - (\theta - \tau)^{-1} u \perp \mathcal{V}$$

To avoid inversion:

$$(A - \tau B)^{-1} Bu - (\theta - \tau)^{-1} u \perp (A - \tau B)^*(A - \tau B)U$$

equivalent with

$$(A - \theta B)u \perp (A - \tau B)U$$

Generalization of harmonic extraction for MEP

For $Ax = \lambda Bx + \mu Cx$, no shift-and-invert property of type $(A - \tau B)^{-1} Bx = (\lambda - \tau)^{-1} x$ seems feasible

Instead generalize $(A - \theta B)u \perp (A - \tau B)U$:

$$\begin{aligned}(A_1 - \theta B_1 - \eta C_1)u &\perp (A_1 - \sigma B_1 - \tau C_1)U \\ (A_2 - \theta B_2 - \eta C_2)v &\perp (A_2 - \sigma B_2 - \tau C_2)V\end{aligned}$$

Justification:

$$(A_1 - \sigma B_1 - \tau C_1)u + (\theta - \sigma)B_1u + (\eta - \tau)C_1u \perp Q$$

with $Q := \text{span}(A_1 - \sigma B_1 - \tau C_1)U$, implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|(A_1 - \sigma B_1 - \tau C_1)u\| &\leq |\theta - \sigma| \|Q^* B_1 u\| + |\eta - \tau| \|Q^* C_1 u\| \\ &\leq |\theta - \sigma| \|Q^* B_1 U\| + |\eta - \tau| \|Q^* C_1 U\|\end{aligned}$$

Efficient implementation with QR-decompositions

H., Plestenjak (2008)

Harmonic extraction for QMEP

$$p(\theta, \eta)u \perp p(\sigma, \tau)\mathcal{U}$$

$$q(\theta, \eta)v \perp q(\sigma, \tau)\mathcal{V}$$

Justification: up to h.o.t.:

$$p(\sigma, \tau)u + (\theta - \sigma)p_\lambda u + (\eta - \tau)p_\mu u \perp \mathcal{Q} := \text{span}(p(\sigma, \tau)\mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(\sigma, \tau)u\| &\lesssim |\theta - \sigma| \|Q^* p_\lambda(\sigma, \tau)u\| + |\eta - \tau| \|Q^* p_\mu(\sigma, \tau)u\| \\ &\lesssim |\theta - \sigma| \|Q^* p_\lambda(\sigma, \tau)U\| + |\eta - \tau| \|Q^* p_\mu(\sigma, \tau)U\| \end{aligned}$$

similar bound for $\|q(\sigma, \tau)v\|$

Solution of small (projected) QMEP

But how to solve small QMEP in:

- standard extraction:

$$U^* p(\theta, \eta) U c = 0$$

$$V^* q(\theta, \eta) V d = 0$$

- harmonic extraction:

$$Q_1^* p(\theta, \eta) U c = 0$$

$$Q_2^* q(\theta, \eta) V d = 0$$

Solution of “DDE special case” of QMEP

Our special case:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 + \lambda B_1 x + \mu C_1 & \\ & \end{pmatrix} x = 0$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & \\ & + \mu C_2 + \lambda \mu E_2 \end{pmatrix} y = 0$$

Slightly more general:

$$(A_1 + \lambda B_1 + \mu C_1 + \lambda \mu E_1) x = 0$$
$$(A_2 + \lambda B_2 + \mu C_2 + \lambda \mu E_2) y = 0$$

Lemma (gives 2 QEPs in 1 parameter)

- (a) $[\lambda^2(E_1 \otimes B_2 - B_1 \otimes E_2) + \lambda(E_1 \otimes A_2 - A_1 \otimes E_2 + C_1 \otimes B_2 - B_1 \otimes C_2) + (C_1 \otimes A_2 - A_1 \otimes C_2)](x \otimes y) = 0$
- (b) $[\mu^2(E_1 \otimes C_2 - C_1 \otimes E_2) + \mu(E_1 \otimes A_2 - A_1 \otimes E_2 + B_1 \otimes C_2 - C_1 \otimes B_2) + (B_1 \otimes A_2 - A_1 \otimes B_2)](x \otimes y) = 0$

Generalization: PMEP

So far:

$$A\dot{x}(t) = Bx(t) + Cx(t - \tau)$$

Neutral DDEs with commensurate delays

$$\sum_{k=0}^m B_k \dot{x}(t - k\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^m A_k x(t - k\tau)$$

Characteristic equation

$$\left(A_0 x + \sum_{k=1}^m \mu^k A_k - \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda \mu^k B_k \right) x = 0$$

PMEP: polynomial multiparameter eigenvalue problem

Experiment delay case QMEP: dense

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 + \lambda B_1 x + \mu C_1) x &= 0 \\ (A_2 + \mu C_2 + \lambda \mu E_2) y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Work:

- Kronecker products size $n_1 n_2$
- linearization (QEP \rightarrow EP) size $2n_1 n_2$
- work " $\mathcal{O}(8n_1^3 n_2^3)$ "

$n_1 = n_2$	time (s)
5	0.026
10	0.73
20	91.5

\Rightarrow in subspace method, have to keep size of subspaces modest

Conclusions

- Critical delays in DDEs give rise to QMEP
- Seemingly different approaches for critical delays:
all connected via QMEP framework
- numerical solution method for QMEP
- generalizations to PMEP

Some references

DDEs:

Chen, Gu, Nett (1995)

Niculescu (1998)

Louisell (2001)

Gu, Kharitonov, Chen (2003)

Fu, Niculescu, Chen (2006)

Jarlebring (2006, 2007, 2008)

Michiels, Niculescu (2007)

Ergenc, Olgac, Fazelina (2007)

MEP:

Atkinson (1972)

Bunse-Gerstner, Byers, Mehrmann (1993)

H., Košir, Plestenjak (2005); H., Plestenjak (2008)

QMEP:

This talk: work with Jarlebring, Muhič, Plestenjak, in preparation